SINGLE BENCH

(3) (5)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (C) No. 7364 /2006

PETITIONER : Sunil Singh S/o Ramjanam Singh, Aged about- 31 years, Hawaldar- SKT/GS&C Central Ordinance Depot Military Establishment line (M.E. Line), Kanpur (U.P.)

P.R. No. 7493/06
Presented by Shri Mahendra Pubey
dated 12/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through- Secretary Department of Revenue, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
2. Sub Divisional Officer, Baikuthpur, Distt. Korea (C.G.)
3. Tahsildar, Sonhat, Tahsil- Sonhat, Distt. Korea (C.G.)
4. Collector, Korea, Baikuthpur, Distt. Korea (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
19/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI ETC. OR DIRECTION / ORDER OF LIKE NATURE ETC.

(27)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP.7364/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

12.1.2007:

Shri Mahendra Dubey counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Utkarsh Verma Dy. GA for the respondent/State.

Grievance of the petitioner is that being Military personnel the petitioner is entitled for waste land which is to be allotted by the Revenue Authorities on his application.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had submitted an application before the concerned S.D.O. for allotment of the land way back in the month of May 2004 who forwarded the same to the Tehsildar of the area concerned for investigation and report and in pursuance of that the inquiry was conducted by the concerned Tehsildar on 9.6.2004. However, thereafter no development has taken place.

I have heard counsel for the petitioner and perused the documents filed along with the application.

From perusal of the documents filed by the petitioner it is observed that the petitioner has not raised his grievance before the District authorities of the concerned district and has straightaway approached this Court for suitable directions to the Tehsildar concerned.

In the aforesaid circumstances the petition is dismissed at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to agitate his grievance before the District authorities of the concerned district and if his grievance is not redressed by the District authorities, in that case only he may seek indulgence of this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

Sd/-
Dhirednra Mishra
Judge